

2007 FEB 07 1400PM '07

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

9 JEFFERY WILLIAM OLNEY,

10 Plaintiff,

O R D E R
Civ. No. 05-296-TC

11 vs.

12 DR. JOHN HARTWIG, et al.,

13 Defendants.

14 AIKEN, Judge:

15 Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and
16 Recommendation (doc. 26) on December 12, 2006. The matter is now
17 before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ.
18 P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the
19 Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must
20 make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's
21 report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v.
22 Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981),
23 cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

24 Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,
25 given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the
26 Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation that defendants' motion
27 to dismiss (doc. 26) is granted and this case is dismissed.

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.
2
3 Dated this 6 day of February 2007.
4
5
6


7 _____
8 Ann Aiken
United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28